

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/040,082

Applicant(s)

STOCKL, LUDWIG

Examiner

Arlen Soderquist

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:(1) Arlen Soderquist.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____(3) Barbara E Johnson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner proposed changes to the specification to remove an improper reference to the claims. An agreement was reached regarding the language of the change and authorization to make the changes by examiner's amendment given

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____